

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/729,052	12/05/2003	Robert Gerald Lipmyer	0603.00002	9266	
7590 12/13/2005			EXAM	XAMINER	
Bliss McGlynn	ı, P.C.	NOORI, MAX H			
Suite 600 2075 West Big Beaver Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Troy, MI 48084			2855		
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/729,052	LIPMYER, ROBERT GERALD
Examiner	Art Unit
Max Noori	2855

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Max Noori	2855						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITTOWN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bein appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			the issues for					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(DTO) 00 ()					
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	•						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	·							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument is not persuasive, and the combined art still makes the invention of the rejected claims obvious.

MAX NOORI PRIMARY EXAMINER